#### Low Radioactivity Techniques 2015 Workshop 17-20 March 2015, Seattle, WA USA

Liquid Xenon Purification, De-Radonation (and De-Kryptonation)



Andrea Pocar University of Massachusetts, Amherst Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

on behalf of the EXO-200 and nEXO collaborations



# Outline

- Introductory notes
- Xe purity issues in EXO-200
- Radon-related issues in EXO-200
- Krypton backgrounds
- Outlook: purity and radon in nEXO



# The Enriched Xenon Observatory

Search for 0vββ decay of <sup>136</sup>Xe (Q=2458 keV) with enriched xenon TPC's (with scintillation readout) of increasing sensitivity and size



#### Enrichment is relatively simpler and less expensive

10% --> 80-90% proven on the 100's kg scale

#### Continuous re-purification possible

• form electronegative and radioactive contaminants

#### Xenon is reusable

• could be transferred between experiments

#### Monolithic detector, remarkable self-shielding

#### Good (enough) energy resolution

with combined scintillation + ionization

#### $\beta\beta/\gamma$ discrimination

event topology

#### Limited cosmogenic activation

longest-lived 4 minutes

Xenon admits a novel coincidence technique

• Ba daughter tagging

M. Moe, PRC 44, R931 (1991)

#### The EXO-200 detector at WIPP (~1,500 m.w.e.)



## The EXO-200 Time Projection Chamber (TPC)



- Radio-pure, dual TPC, filled with ~150 kg LXe, 80.6% <sup>136</sup>Xe)
- Scintillation detected by APDs at interaction time

- x/y from collection and shielding wire planes
- z from electron drift time

JINST 7 (2012) P05010

## The EXO-200 Time Projection Chamber (TPC)



[E. Conti et al. Phys. Rev. B 68 (2003) 054201]





Copper vessel 1.37 mm thick 175 kg LXe, 80.6% enr. in <sup>136</sup>Xe

Copper conduits (6) for: •APD bias and readout cables •U+V wires bias and readout •LXe supply and return

Epoxy feedthroughs at cold and warm doors

Dedicated HV bias line

EXO-200 detector: Characterization of APDs: Materials screening:

January 2010

JINST 7 (2012) P05010 NIM A608 68-75 (2009) NIM A591, 490-509 (2008)

## EXO-200 Liquid Xenon System



## EXO-200 Liquid Xenon System



## EXO-200: initial operations with natural Xenon

- TPC was kept under inert nitrogen atmosphere in transit from Stanford to WIPP
- All plastic components had been precision cleaned, baked or annealed and stored in flushed boxes for ~months before installation
- Circulated warm xenon gas through the TPC and two hot Zr getters in parallel (SAES MonoTorr) before cooldown





#### Krypton-85

The total Kr in the <sup>nat</sup>LXe used for the EXO-200 engineering run was measured to be, using a special technique involving massspec<sup>\*</sup>,  $(42.6\pm5.7)\cdot10^{-9}$  g/g [NIM A675 (2012) 40]



→ Consistent with Mass Spec result assuming standard concentration: <sup>85</sup>Kr/Kr ~10<sup>-11</sup>

# Xenon Enrichment (80.6% Xe-136)

 The enr-Xe fill showed an unexpected electronegative impurity level due to some unknown centrifuge lubricant



noble elements: Kr-85 (<0.4 ppb) Ar-39, Ar-42

Depletion of light

## De-Kryptonation in LXe - LUX/LZ, chromatography

#### Gas charcoal chromatography Kr removal system



# De-Kryptonation in LXe - distillation

[Astropart.Phys. 31 (2009) 290-296]

- XMASS
  - Kr/Xe ~ 3×10<sup>-12</sup>

- XENON 1ton
  - Phase 1: Kr/Xe < 26×10<sup>-15</sup>
  - Phase 2: >120,000× reduction

J Phys Conf Ser 564 (2014) 012006 Eur Phys J C 74, 2746 (2014) JINST 9, P10010 (2014)

[information courtesy of Y. Suzuki and C. Weinheimer]



~ 5m



## Xe purity and electron drift



#### Xenon purity from electronegative species - Run 2



#### Xenon gas is forced through heated Zr getter by a custom ultraclean pump.

Ultraclean pump: *Rev. Sci. Instr. 82 (10) 105114* Xenon purity with mass spec: *NIM A675 (2012) 40* Gas purity monitors: *NIM A659 (2011) 215*  At  $\tau_e$  = 3 ms:

- drift time <110 μs
- loss of charge:
  - 3.6% at full drift length

#### Radon from BiPo-214 events, $\alpha$ tagging



- Diagonal cut (large scintillation, low charge) identifies  $\alpha$  decay
- Short time coincidence identifies BiPo-214 event

#### Radon in the EXO-200 LXe



Long term study shows a steady state activity in the  $e^{nr}LXe$  of 360 ± 65 µBq (fiducial volume)

#### ~200 atoms of <sup>222</sup>Rn

Phys. Rev. C, 89, 015502 (2014).

## The EXO-200 "deradonator"

- Charcoal-based
- Room temperature
- self-regenerating
- 10-30 cfm delivered
- operated at WIPP
- flush detector envelope

# The EXO-200 "deradonator"

- **Charcoal-based**
- Room temperature
- self-regenerating
- 10-30 cfm delivered
- envelope





## From EXO-200 to nEXO

- 5 tonnes of enriched LXe
- enhanced self-shielding
- x100 better T<sub>1/2</sub> sensitivity

- < 1% energy resolution</p>
- no central cathode
- ≥ 10 ms electron lifetime





LRT 2015, Seattle WA, USA

## nEXO TPC

#### **Charge Readout Tiles**



#### Key features

- single drift volume
- charge collected on pads
- 'no' plastics
- VUV scintillation
  collected on SIPMs
  behind the field cage
- thin Cu vessel

#### nEXO R&D in full swing

- Target Rn level set to < 600 atoms in TPC
- EXO-200: steady-state is ~200 Rn atoms
- unknown if sources are in TPC and/or plumbing
- nEXO TPC is much larger
- Two-fold strategy: Screening and In-situ removal (trap)
- 1) enhance screening capacity with a) higher sensitivity counters; b) larger counters; c) in-line, ultralow bgnd counter
- 2) develop a Rn trap: initial work with bare metals (Cu, Ni) unsuccessful; now testing activated charcoal – but sizing might be an issue

#### Summary





- EXO-200 has i) demonstrated the LXe TPC technology at the ~100 kg scale; ii) achieved excellent Xe purity and very low Rn contamination iii) indicated a plausible way towards a leading tonne-scale experiment (nEXO)
- nEXO has an active international R&D program for a tonne-scale LXe DBD experiment with x100 the sensitivity of EXO-200
- The nEXO requirements for Xe purity and Rn background are shared by the large LXe community for rare event physics



Andrea Pocar - UMass Amherst & LLNL

LRT 2015, Seattle WA, USA



# 125 researchers25 institutions7 countries

# The nEXO Collaboration

University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa AL, USA - D. Auty, T. Didberidze, M. Hughes, A. Piepke, R. Tsang University of Bern, Switzerland - S. Delaguis, R. Gornea, T. Tolba, J-L. Vuilleumier Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY, USA – M. Chiu, G. De Geronimo, S. Li, V. Radeka, T. Rao, G. Smith, T. Tsang, B. Yu California Institute of Technology, Pasadena CA, USA - P. Vogel Carleton University, Ottawa ON, Canada - Y. Baribeau, V. Basque, M. Bowcock, M. Dunford, K. Graham, P. Gravelle, R. Killick, T. Koffas, C. Licciardi, E. Mane, K. McFarlane, R. Schnarr, D. Sinclair Colorado State University, Fort Collins CO, USA - C. Chambers, A. Craycraft, W. Fairbank, Jr., T. Walton Drexel University, Philadelphia PA, USA - M.J. Dolinski, J.K. Gaison, Y.H. Lin, E. Smith, Y.-R Yen Duke University, Durham NC, USA - P.S. Barbeau, G. Swift University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany – G. Anton, J. Hoessl, T. Michel IHEP Beijing, People's Republic of China - G. Cao, X. Jiang, H. Li, Z. Ning, X. Sun, N. Wang, W. Wei, L. Wen, W. Wu University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign IL, USA - D. Beck, M. Coon, S. Homiller, J. Ling, J. Walton, L. Yang Indiana University, Bloomington IN, USA - J. Albert, S. Daugherty, T. Johnson, L.J. Kaufman, T. O'Conner, G. Visser University of California, Irvine, Irvine CA, USA - M. Moe ITEP Moscow, Russia - D. Akimov, I. Alexandrov, V. Belov, A. Burenkov, M. Danilov, A. Dolgolenko, A. Karelin, A. Kovalenko, A. Kuchenkov, V. Stekhanov, O. Zeldovich Laurentian University, Sudbury ON, Canada - B. Cleveland, A. Der Mesrobian-Kabakian, J. Farine, B. Mong, U. Wichoski Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, USA – M. Heffner, A. House, S. Sangiorgio University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA, USA - J. Dalmasson, S. Johnston, A. Pocar Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge TN, USA – L. Fabris, D. Hornback, R.J. Newby, K. Ziock IBS Center for Underground Physics, Daejeon, South Korea - D.S. Leonard SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park CA, USA - T. Daniels, K. Fouts, G. Haller, R. Herbst, K. Nishimura, A. Odian, P.C. Rowson, K. Skarpaas University of South Dakota, Vermillion SD, USA – R. MacLellan Stanford University, Stanford CA, USA - T. Brunner, J. Chaves, R. DeVoe, D. Fudenberg, G. Gratta, M. Jewell, S.Kravitz, D. Moore, I. Ostrovskiy, A. Schubert, K. Twelker, M. Weber Stony Brook University, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY, USA – K. Kumar, O. Njoya, M. Tarka Technical University of Munich, Garching, Germany - P. Fierlinger, M. Marino

TRIUMF, Vancouver BC, Canada – J. Dilling, P. Gumplinger, R. Krücken, F. Retière, V. Strickland













#### From EXO-200 to nEXO



Monolithic design is dramatically improves performance with size



Monolithic design is dramatically improves performance with size

## Self shielding (EXO-200)





#### Measured reduction in backgrounds vs. standoff, EXO-200: