The BiPo-3 detector for the measurement of ultra high radiopurities in Tl-208 and Bi-214 of thin materials

> Low Radioactive Techniques Univ. of Washington, Seattle 18-20 March 2015

Xavier Sarazin LAL Orsay, CNRS, / Univ. Paris-Sud On behalf of the SuperNEMO Collaboration The BiPo-3 detector for the measurement of ultra high radiopurities in Tl-208 and Bi-214 of thin materials

 \triangleright Description of the BiPo-3 detector

➢ Background measurement

 \succ Validation of the detection efficiency with a calibrated Al. Foil

 \geq Results of the measurement of the first $\beta\beta$ SuperNEMO ⁸²Se foils

Objective of the BiPo-3 detector

Measure the purity in ²⁰⁸Tl and ²¹⁴Bi of the SuperNEMO source foils

SuperNEMO required radiopurity of the enriched 82 Se foils (40 mg/cm²)

 $^{208}\mathrm{Tl} < 2~\mu\mathrm{Bq/kg}$ and $^{214}\mathrm{Bi} < 10~\mu\mathrm{Bq/kg}$

Objective of the BiPo-3 detector

Measure the purity in ²⁰⁸Tl and ²¹⁴Bi of the SuperNEMO source foils

SuperNEMO required radiopurity of the enriched 82 Se foils (40 mg/cm²)

 $^{208}\mathrm{Tl} < 2~\mu\mathrm{Bq/kg}$ and $^{214}\mathrm{Bi} < 10~\mu\mathrm{Bq/kg}$



Objective of the BiPo-3 detector

Measure the purity in ²⁰⁸Tl and ²¹⁴Bi of the SuperNEMO source foils

SuperNEMO required radiopurity of the enriched 82 Se foils (40 mg/cm²)

 $^{208}\mathrm{Tl} < 2~\mu\mathrm{Bq/kg}$ and $^{214}\mathrm{Bi} < 10~\mu\mathrm{Bq/kg}$



Sandwich of two low radioactive thin polystyrene scintillators (2 x 300 x 300 mm) **Time topology signature:** 1 prompt hit (β) + 1 delay hit (α) and no coincidence



The BiPo-3 detector

Canfranc Underground Laboratory (Spain)

- BiPo-3 composed of two modules
- Each module :
 - 2×20 polystyrene scintillators
 - coupled to 5 low radioactive PMTs
 - scint. : $300 \times 300 \times 3 \text{ mm}^3$
 - 200 nm ultrapure Al on scint. Surface
- Total active area of BiPo-3 (two modules) S = $2 \times 1.8 = 3.6 \text{ m}^2$







The BiPo-3 detector

Canfranc Underground Laboratory (Spain)





Installation of the sample foils In the clean room in Canfranc

A BiPo-3 module inside the low radioactive shield : 10 cm lead in a Radon-tight tank BiPo-212 event



PMT signal recorded with MATACQ VME digitizer board (LAL & IRFU)

- \rightarrow 4 channels, 2.5ms time window, 1 GS/s high sampling rate
- \rightarrow 12-bit amplitude resolution
- \rightarrow 1 Volt amplitude dynamic range
- \rightarrow Electronic noise ~ 250 μ V (r.m.s.)

BiPo-214 event

2nd delayed trigger up to 1 ms for BiPo-214 detection (10 μ s dead time)

BiPo-214 event selection:

- Back-to-back event
- E(prompt) > 200 keV
- E(delay) > 300 keV
- Delay time $10 \ \mu s < \Delta t < 1000 \ \mu s$
- Coinc. signal with prompt e⁻ Ampl < 3 mV (~ 2 p.e.)
- \bullet Pulse Shape Criteria on Q/A



Energy Calibration

Surface Uniformity of the scintillators :

The surface response of each Scintillator+PMT has been measured with an $^{241}\mathrm{Am}\ \alpha$ source in Orsay \rightarrow Decrease of about 15% in the light collection observed when the α source is moved from the center to the edges of the scint.

Absolute energy calibration:

Absolute energy calibration of the BiPo-3 detector is performed everytime a new measurement of a new sample is starting

 \rightarrow 5 $^{54}\mathrm{Mn}$ g sources deposited on the top of the BiPo-3 module

 \rightarrow Compton edge used to calibrate in energy





Quenching for α particle



Systematic uncertainty on the BiPo efficiency dominated by the energy calibration and α quenching factor uncertainty \rightarrow Syst. Error estimated by Monte-Carlo ~ 20 % Background Measurement

Origin of the background



 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm Random\ coincidence}\\ (e^-\ {\rm Compton\ from\ ext.}\ \gamma) \end{array}$

Low counting rate Low bkg detector & Low radioactive shield Running in LSC Canfranc



Flat distribution of the delay time Δt



Bulk contamination



Low energy threshold to reject coincidence $\rightarrow 10 \text{ keV} \sim 100 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ Radiopure scintillator $\rightarrow A(^{208}\text{Tl}) < 1 \text{ }\mu\text{Bq/kg}$

Coincidence ?



Surface contamination



Ultra high surface radiopurity No Radon and Thoron between scintillators $\rightarrow A(Rn) < 20 \mu Bq/m^3$ (if gap=100 μ m)



External Radon



Pure N2 flushed inside the detector

 $\begin{array}{l} \exp(-\Delta t) \\ \mathrm{E}(\alpha) \ \mathrm{totaly} \ \mathrm{contained} \end{array}$



Three background measurements have been performed Detector closed without any foil : ε (²¹²BiPo) = 28.9 %

\Rightarrow A total of 35 ²¹²BiPo events observed after 229 days \times 3.13 m² scint.



• Bkg due to ²⁰⁸Tl contamination on the surface of the scintillators :

 $A(^{208}{
m Tl}) = 1.0 \pm 0.2 \ \mu{
m Bq/m^2 \ scint.}$

~ 1 ²¹²BiPo cts/month per BiPo-3 module, with the screening (~0.4) of a sample

• Random coincidences are negligible

²¹²BiPo

• Bkg ~ 0 if one requires E(delay $\alpha) < 700~{\rm keV}$



²¹²BiPo

The results of the three bkg measurements are compatible

	Duration	Scintillator	$^{212}\mathrm{BiPo}$	$\mathcal{A}(^{208}\mathrm{Tl})$
	(days)	surface area (m^2)	candidates	$\mu Bq/m^2$ scint. [90% C.L.]
Module 1	79.5	2.70	9	0.9 ± 0.3
Initial shield				
Module 1	47.9	3.24	7	1.0 ± 0.4
Final shield				
Module 2	101.6	3.42	19	1.2 ± 0.2
Final shield				
Total	229	3.13	35	1.0 ± 0.2

Measurement of the BiPo-3 background

A total of 74 $^{\rm 214}{\rm BiPo}$ candidates observed after 184 days \times 3.13 m² scint.



• Two components of background for BiPo :

²¹⁴BiPo

- Surface contamination on the scintillator $(\sim 25.9\%)$
 - $A(^{214}{
 m Bi}) = 1.8 \pm 0.4 \ \mu{
 m Bq/m^2 \ scint.}$
 - ~ 1.7 $^{214}\text{BiPo}$ cts/month per BiPo-3 module with the screening (~0.4) of a sample
- Random Coincidences $({\sim}70\%)$

~ 9 R.C./month and per BiPo-3 module

- The results of the three bkg measurements are compatible
- $E(\text{delay } \alpha) > 300 \text{ keV}$ in order to reject external Radon bkg

Expected sensitivity Of the BiPo-3 detector

Assuming SuperNEMO Se-82 foils (40 mg/cm^2)



 $A(^{208}Tl) < 3 \mu Bq/kg (90 \% C.L.)$

 $A(^{214}Bi) < 22 \ \mu Bq/kg \ (90 \ \% C.L.)$

Validation with a calibrated Aluminium foil

Calibrated Aluminium Foil



Two geometries measured in // \rightarrow 1 single foil e= mm installed in a half of the detector \rightarrow 2 superimposed foils installed in the 2nd half

Aluminium foil measured by HPGe : $A(BiPo-212) = 109 \pm 2 \text{ (stat)} \pm 8 \text{ (syst)} \text{ mBq/kg}$ $A(BiPo-214) = 13.2 \pm 2.6 \text{ (stat)} \pm 1.0 \text{ (syst)} \text{ mBq/kg}$ Validation of the BiPo-3 efficiency with a calibrated aluminium foil

Thickness = 85 μ m, Mass = 224 g

 $^{212}\text{Bi} - ^{212}\text{Po}$

HPGe: $A(^{208}Tl) = 61 \pm 5$ (syst) mBq/kg

2968 ²¹²BiPo events in 24.1 days

Monte-Carlo: ε (²¹²BiPo) = 5.3 %

 \Rightarrow BiPo : A(²⁰⁸Tl)= 67 ± 5 (syst) mBq/kg





Validation of the BiPo-3 efficiency with a calibrated aluminium foil

Thickness = 85 μ m, Mass = 224 g

180

 $^{214}\text{Bi} - ^{214}\text{Po}$

HPGe: $A(^{214}Bi) = 13.2 \pm 2.6(stat) \pm 1.0(syst) mBq/kg$

354 ²¹⁴BiPo events in 11.9 days

Monte-Carlo: ε (²¹²BiPo) = 3.3 %

 \Rightarrow BiPo : A(²¹⁴Bi) = 12.7 \pm 2.1(syst) mBq/kg





First results Of Supernemo samples Measurements

Samples for the SuperNEMO ⁸²Se foil



 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Binder} \rightarrow \text{PVA} \\ \rightarrow \text{Nylon mesh} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} {\rm Matrix} \rightarrow {\rm Irradiated \ mylar \ e=}12 \mu {\rm m} \\ \rightarrow {\rm Nylon \ mesh} \end{array}$

All the different components have been measured separately with BiPo

Sample	$\begin{array}{c} T_{obs} \\ (days) \end{array}$	Mass (g)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Surface} \\ \text{Scint.} \\ (\text{m}^2) \end{array}$	Expected Background	Observed Events	$ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon(^{212}\text{BiPo}) \\ (\%) \end{array} $	$\mathcal{A}(^{208}\mathrm{Tl})$ (90% C.L.)
$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Mylar} \\ 200^* < E(\alpha) < 800 \mathrm{keV} \end{array}$	76.5	108.1	1.62	0.5	1	5.5	$<49~\mu{\rm Bq/kg}$
Irrad. Mylar $100 < E(\alpha) < 800 \text{keV}$	44.4	200	3.06	0.5	10	5.9	$[62-200]~\mu\mathrm{Bq/kg}$
$\mathbf{PVA} \\ 100 < E(\alpha) < 800 \mathrm{keV}$	137.2	210	1.8	0.9	0	3.0	$<12~\mu{\rm Bq/kg}$
Nylon Mesh $E(\alpha) > 100 \text{keV}$	110.6	70.6	1.44	18.0 ± 5.3	96	9.1	$[222-407]~\mu\mathrm{Bq/kg}$

 $E(\alpha) < 800 \text{ keV}$ allows to reject most of the surface background $*E(\alpha) > 200 \text{ keV}$ for Mylar measurement due to a anormal bkg at lower energy during this period

BiPo-214 results not yet validated...

Measurement of the first SuperNEMO ⁸²Se foil

Two ⁸²Se Strips installed mid-August 2014 in half of a BiPo-3 module Se+PVA: 13.5 x 270 cm2 each foil 39.1 mg/cm2 and 36.5 mg/cm2 Total Mass Se+PVA \sim 300 g

Second half of the BiPo-3 module used to control the background





⁸²Se foil Monte-Carlo Simulation BiPo-212

Energy spectrum of the delayed $\alpha\,$ calculated by Monte-Carlo \rightarrow E(a) <700 keV allows to reject surface bkg and most of the BiPo events from irradiated mylar



²¹²BiPo efficiency calculated by Monte-Carlo

Criteria	82 Se+PVA	Irrad. Mylar	Surface Bkg
$E(\alpha) > 100 \text{ keV}$	2.9%	9.3%	12.1%
$100 < E(\alpha) < 700 \text{ keV}$	2.8%	3.4%	0.15%

$^{82}\!\mathrm{Se}$ foil \rightarrow Results BiPo-212

• Mass 82 Se+PVA = 226 g	
---------------------------------	--

- Mass irradiated mylar = 22 g
- Surface scintillator = 1.33 m^2
- Tobs = 130.6 days

Criteria	Expected	Expected	Total	Observed
	Surf. Bkg	Irrad. Mylar	Exp. Bkg	Events
$E(\alpha) > 100 \text{ keV}$	3.2 ± 0.9	[2.5 - 8.0]	[4.8 - 12.1]	3
$100 < E(\alpha) < 700 \text{ keV}$	0.04 ± 0.01	[0.9 - 3.0]	[0.9 - 3.0]	0

 $E(\alpha) < 700$ keV allows to reject most of the surface bkg and part of the irradiated mylar contamination

Using Feldman-Cousins $(n_{obs}=0; n_{bkg}=0.9)$



 $A(^{208}Tl) < 13 \ \mu Bq/kg \ (90 \ \% C.L.)$

Control of the Background (2nd halh of the module without any sample)

D_{1}	Criteria	Expected	Observed
Duration: 104 days Surf Scint $= 1.26 \text{ m}^2$		Surf. Bkg	Events
Suff. Schut. = 1.20 m^2	$E(\alpha) > 100 \text{ keV}$	5.8 ± 1.2	5^*

* all the events with 800<E($\alpha)$ <1200 keV as expected for surface events

⁸²Se foil Monte-Carlo Simulation BiPo-214

Criteria	Se+PVA	Surface	Irradiated
		background	mylar
300 keV $<$ E $_{delay}$ $<$ 600 keV	0.56 %	0.25 %	3.1%

- The irradiated mylar reduces the BiPo-214 detection efficiency
- Without mylar \rightarrow (Se+PVA) detection efficiency = 2.1 %
- $E(\alpha) < 600$ keV reject almost all surface bkg



$^{82}\!\mathrm{Se}$ foil \rightarrow Results BiPo-214

- Mass 82 Se+PVA = 184 g
- \bullet Mass irradiated mylar = 17.8 g
- Surface scintillator = 1.08 m^2
- Tobs = 91.9 days

Detected	Random	Exp. backg surf	Exp.backing-film	Total exp. back
events	Coincidences	events	events	events
9	5.9 ± 1.7	0.07[0.05-0.09]	4.7[2.7-7.2]	10.7[7.0-14.9]
Using Fel	dman-Cousins			

 $(n_{obs}=0; n_{bkg}=7)$

A(²¹⁴Bi) <1015 μ Bq/kg (90 % C.L.)

Without mylar (ϵ =2.1%) and with the full surface area of the BiPo-3 detector (mass×4), the sensitivity after 91.9 days would be A(²¹⁴Bi) < 68 mBq/kg)

Control of the Background (2nd halh of the module without any sample)

Duration: 62.2 days		Bkg	Events
Surf. Scint. = 1.26 m^2	$E(\alpha) > 700 \text{ keV} \Longrightarrow \text{Surf. Bkg}$ $E(\alpha) < 700 \text{ keV} \Longrightarrow \text{Band. Coinc}$	3.2 ± 0.6 6 8 ± 1 0	2 7

Conclusions

- ▶ Full BiPo-3 detector running in Canfranc LSC since 2013
- \geq Ultra low background in ²⁰⁸Tl and ²¹⁴Bi has been measured at several periods
- \geq BiPo efficiency validated with a calibrated Aluminium foil
- \succ First SuperNEMO foils measured since Aug. 2014

Preliminary results:

Se+PVA $A(^{208}Tl) < 13 \mu Bq/kg (90 \% C.L.)$

 $A(^{214}Bi) < 1.0 \text{ mBq/kg} (90 \% \text{ C.L.})$

 \succ The BiPo-3 detector has become a « generic » detector

- \rightarrow Other samples have been already measured
 - Polyethylene for CUORE
 - Reflecting film (Vikuiti) for bb experiments with scintillating bolometers
 - Wafers for Micromegas TPC developped by Zaragoza Univ.

 \succ Article in preparation

BACKUP

Improvement of the background rejection induced by the bulk contamination in scintillators

The detection of a small signal in coincidence with the prompt β signal allows to reject a BiPo-decay induced by a bulk contamination in scintillators and close to the surface



Lower is the threshold for coincidence, higher is the rejection

Background rejection by detecting coincidence signal

Radon deposited on the surface of the scintillators when the detector is opened in clean room (for sample insertion)

222
Rn $\xrightarrow{\alpha}$ 218 Po $\xrightarrow{\alpha}$ 214 Po $\xrightarrow{\beta}$ 214 Bi

Energy recoil induced by the two successive α decays \rightarrow nucleus penetrates inside the plastic scintillator \rightarrow ²¹⁴Bi is not any more on the surface but is slightly inside the scintillator

Bkg measurement with Radon



Bkg measurement without Radon



Which threshold to keep signal ?

We need a coincidence threshold as low as possible But possible optical cross-talk can mimick it \rightarrow Two analysis to measure the cross-talk

²¹⁴BiPo events from Bkg measurement Amplitude coincidence with delay a signal



With signal $\sim 1000~{\rm keV}$ Optical cross-talk $< 3{\rm mV}$ in $\sim 90~\%$

²¹²BiPo events from Alu foil measurement Amplitude coincidence with prompt b signal



For 96 % of the BiPo decay from the foil The coincidence with prompt $< 3 \mathrm{mv}$

Criteria : Amplitude coincidence prompt $< 3~{\rm mV}$

Pulse Shape Analysis

Noise rejection



Result Bi-214 (BiPo-214)



Criteria to select BiPo events

BiPo-212 Back-to-back events E(prompt) > 200 keV E(delay) > 100 keV Delay Time : 20 < Dt < 1420 ns Pulse Shape Analysis Q/A Amplitude coincidence < 3 mV 3 first days of data are rejected BiPo-214 Back-to-back events E(prompt) > 200 keVE(delay) > 300 keVto reduce R.C. and ext. Radon Delay Time : $10 < Dt < 1000 \ \mu s$ Pulse Shape Analysis Q/A Amplitude coincidence $< 3 \ mV$ 3 first days of data are rejected